

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	D	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/607,939		06/27/2003	Jeffrey Socha	663P001	8662
42754	7590	11/14/2006		EXAM	INER
NIELDS			YOO, REGINA M		
176 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 7 WESTBORO, MA 01581				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1744	
				DATE MAILED: 11/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/607,939	SOCHA, JEFFREY				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Regina Yoo	1744				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH atute, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the applicati 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	nawn nom consideration.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-33 are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	•				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to t						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	rection is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in App	lication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	•	ceived in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ist of the certified copies not re	ceived.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		fail Date mal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/607,939 Page 2

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-20, drawn to an apparatus for treating contaminants in a fluid, classified in class 422, subclass 120.
 - II. Claims 21-33, drawn to a method for destroying air-borne bacteria, viral, or any other organic contaminant, classified in class 422, subclass 4.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus such as one where there is one mixing chamber where both the treatment of air with ozone as well as the ozone destruction takes place, or in an apparatus with one chamber and the ozone reducing catalyst may be contained within a pipe through which the ozone containing air can flow past before entering the atmosphere.

Application/Control Number: 10/607,939

Art Unit: 1744

3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 3

4. A telephone call was made to Kevin Lemack on November 2, 2006 at 12 pm to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

Art Unit: 1744

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Regina Yoo whose telephone number is 571-272-6690.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys Piazza Corcoran can be reached on 571-272-1214. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

GLADYS JP CORCORAN UPERVISOBY PATENT EYAMINED

RY